

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/503,673	02/14/2000	Meenarachagan Vishnu	FORE-54	7017
7590 03/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Ansel M Schwartz			TRAN, THIEN D	
One Sterling Pl	aza			
201 N Craig Street Suite 304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA 15213			2665	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			( <del>\$</del> K
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del> -
	09/503,673	VISHNU, MEENARACHAGAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thien D Tran	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 O</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			•
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>36</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 16-18, 26-28, 33-35, 37, 38</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>4-15,19-25 and 29-32</u> is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. are rejected. to.	·	
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		<b></b>	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the l		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)		٠	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04!05/04	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:		152)

Application/Control Number: 09/503,673

Art Unit: 2665

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 16, 37, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being participated by Ganmukhi et al (U.S Patent No. 5,850,399 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 16, Ganmukhi discloses schedulers a data scheduling for service according to QoS (server) comprising:

a first level schedule (*first level generator*), hereinafter first level generator, associated with groups of connections G1 = 42, 52, 62, G2 = 72, G3 = 32, figure 1; and

a second and third level schedules (*second level generator*), hereinafter second level generator, associated corresponding to the groups of connections G1 = 42, 52, 62, G2 = 72, G3 = 32, said first level generator identifying which connections to be outputted to the second level generator for services in the next scheduling (*in the second level generator corresponds to a group in the first level generator that are to be considered for service*), col.3 lines 5-20, said second level generator identifies the connections corresponding to the group 20, 22, 17, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72, to receive service from the server according to the priority, said second level generator in connection with said first level generator, col.3 lines 1-15.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,673

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 37, 38 Ganmukhi discloses an apparatus for serving connections comprising:

a server QoS, col.3 line 6;

a queue (*memory*), hereinafter memory, in which data of the connections is stored, said memory connected to the server, col.3 line 40; and

a hierarchical scheduler which schedules when the data of the connections in the memory is to receive service from the server, said scheduler connected to said server and said memory, figure 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 17, 18, 26, 27, 28, 33, 34, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganmukhi et al (U.S Patent No. 5,850,399 B1) in the view of Lahat et al (U.S Patent No. 6,417,944).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 17, 18, 26, Ganmukhi discloses an apparatus for serving connections comprising:

a server QoS, col.3 line 6;

a queue (memory), hereinafter memory, in which data of the connections is stored, said memory connected to the server, col.3 line 40; and

Application/Control Number: 09/503,673

Art Unit: 2665

a hierarchical scheduler which schedules when the data of the connections in the memory is to receive service from the server, said scheduler connected to said server and said memory, figure 1.

Regarding claims 27, 33, Ganmukhi discloses an apparatus for serving connections comprising:

a data scheduling for service according to QoS (server), col.3 line 6;

a queue (memory), hereinafter memory, in which data of the connections is stored, said memory connected to the server, col.3 line 40; and

a scheduler which schedules when the cells of the connections in the memory are to receive service from the server based on intercell interval, wherein an intercell interval is how long the server take to service a cell, the scheduler connected to the server and memory, col.3 lines 60-65.

Regarding claim 28, Ganmukhi discloses the latency of cells/second (intercell intervals) is inversed proportional to number of bits/second (bandwidth), col.4 lines 1-10.

Ganmukhi does not disclose a filter mechanism, which filters out (idle connections) inactive groups of connections associated with schedules in the hierarchical schedule. Lahat discloses a filter for filtering and allowing certain wavelengths for outputting, col.8 lines 40-55. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have a schedule used the filter to filter inactive connections so that memories in the schedule can be utilized more efficient as recited in claims 2, 3, 17, 18.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,673 Page 5

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 34, 35, Ganmukhi does not disclose that bitmap generator for generating schedule bitmap indicating the group to be scheduled for service, which would have been well known method for generating data bits in communication encoder. Lahat, for example, discloses a generator for generating bitmap so that data can be composed by number of bits in a proper form for communication. See col.10 lines 1-20. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement the feature of generating bitmap so that data can be composed by number of bits in a proper form for communication.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 4-15, 19-25, 29-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 36 are allowed, because the prior arts fail to teach or fairly suggest an apparatus for serving connection comprising: a scheduler having a schedule bitmap and active bitmaps which indicate which connection are active, the scheduler filters out inactive connections from the schedule bitmap and ANDing schedule bitmap with the active bitmaps, the scheduler schedules when cells of the connection in the memory are to receive service from the server, the scheduler connected to a server.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,673 Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 03/04/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Ganmukhi does not teach the scheduler for identifying a fist group of connections and determining which connections of the group in the first level generator are to be considered for service by the second level generator. However, Examiner respectfully disagrees with the argument because Ganmukhi teaches the first level generator identifying which connections to be outputted to the second level generator for services in the next scheduling (*in the second level generator corresponds to a group in the first level generator that are to be considered for service*), col.3 lines 50-20, said second level generator identifies the connections corresponding to the groups 20, 22, 17, 32, 42, 52, 62, 72, to receive service from the server according to the priority, figure 1, col.3 lines 5-20.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/503,673 Page 7

Art Unit: 2665

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the

examiner should be directed to Thien Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3156.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155. Any inquiry of a general nature

of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group

receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Patent Examiner

DUC HO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Thien Tran

3-4-05 Luchuto